

Closing the "10-100 eV Gap" for Electron Thermalization in GaN Devices from First Principles

Dallin O. Nielsen¹, Chris G. Van de Walle², Sokrates T. Pantelides³, Ronald D. Schrimpf⁴, Daniel M. Fleetwood⁴, and Massimo V. Fischetti¹

Email: dallin.nielsen@utdallas.edu

¹The University of Texas at Dallas, Dept. of Materials Science and Engineering

²University of California, Santa Barbara, Materials Dept.

³Vanderbilt University, Dept. of Physics and Astronomy

⁴Vanderbilt University, Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering



- Ionizing radiation effects \rightarrow high-E charge carriers
 - Nuclear/particle physics community: Binary-collision codes [1,2]
 - Good down to \sim 100 eV [3]
 - Band structure effects?
 - Electronic device community: full-band Monte Carlo [4]
 - Region below \sim 10 eV = well studied
 - Intermediate energy range: \sim 10-100 eV
 - Energy-loss processes not well understood [5]
- This work \rightarrow study energy-loss processes from first principles
 - Close the gap
- Increasing popularity of WBG materials (GaN, SiC, β -Ga₂O₃, etc.)
 - Focus on GaN
- 1. R. A. Reed, et al., IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 62, 1441 (2015).
- 2. S. Agostinelli, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A: Accel. Spectrom. Detect. Assoc. Equip. 506, 250 (2003).
- 3. C. M. Dozier and D. B. Brown, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 28, 4137 (1981).
- 4. H. Shichijo and K. Hess, Phys. Rev. B 23, 4197 (1981).
- 5. D. Pines, Rev. Mod. Phys. 28, 184 (1956).









First Principles Calculations

- Use DFT and DFPT \rightarrow Quantum ESPRESSO [1]
 - Band structure
 - Phonon dispersion
 - Dielectric function (TDDFT, turboEELS [2])
- EPW [3] \rightarrow carrier-phonon matrix elements



P. Giannozzi, *et al.*, J. Phys. Cond. Matt. **21**, 395502 (2009).
I. Timrov, *et al.*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **196**, 460 (2015).
S. Poncé, *et al.*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **209**, 116 (2016).



3



Scattering Rate Calculations

• Carrier-phonon scattering → use Fermi's Golden Rule (FGR):

$$\frac{1}{\tau_n^{(\eta)}(\mathbf{k})} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_{n'\mathbf{q}} \left| g_{nn'}^{\eta}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}) \right|^2 \left(N_\mathbf{q} + \frac{1}{2} \mp \frac{1}{2} \right) \delta \left[E_n(\mathbf{k}) - E_{n'}(\mathbf{k} \pm \mathbf{q}) \pm \hbar \omega_\mathbf{q}^{(\eta)} \right]$$

- $N_{\mathbf{q}} = 1/(e^{(\hbar\omega_{\mathbf{q}}/k_{B}T)} 1)$ (assuming T=300 K)
- Evaluation of delta function done by Blöchl's tetrahedron method [1].
- Carrier energy-loss rate (ELR)
 - Electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS)
 - Peaks = impact ionization and plasma excitations
 - Calculate total interaction rate using dielectric function (directly related to EEL cross section)
 - FGR and dissipation fluctuation theorem [2,3,4]:

$$\frac{1}{\tau_n^{\text{ELR}}(\mathbf{k})} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_{n'} \int \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{e^2\hbar}{q^2} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \, \text{Im}\left[\frac{-1}{\varepsilon(\mathbf{q},\omega)}\right] \delta[E_n(\mathbf{k}) - E_{n'}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}) \pm \hbar\omega].$$

- 1. P. E. Blöchl, O. Jepsen, and O. K. Andersen, Phys. Rev. B 49, 16223 (1994).
- 2. P. Nozieres and D. Pines, Theory of Quantum Liquids, Advanced Book Classics Series (Westview Press, Boulder, CO, 1999).
- 3. H. Nyquist, Phys. Rev. **32**, 110 (1928).
- 4. H. B. Callen and T. A. Welton, Phys. Rev. 83, 34 (1951).
- 5. G. Brockt and H. Lakner, Micron **31**, 435 (2000).



Low-loss spectra of GaN and AIN [5]

AFOSR AFOSR

Scattering Rate Results

- Phonon scattering and ELR (top row)
- JDOS and VB DOS (bottom row)
- Electrons:
 - Phonon scattering dominant $\leq 10 \text{ eV}$
 - ELR flattens out at high energies
 - Rates driven to magnitude > 10¹⁶ 1/s by dense d bands (see JDOS)
 - Quinn, Ferrell, Pines, Penn calculated rates of similar magnitude [1-4]
- Holes
 - Phonon scattering dominant for low *E*
 - Spikes at \sim 13 and 15 eV from spikes in DOS for *d* bands
- 1. D. Pines, Rev. Mod. Phys. 28, 184 (1956).
- 2. J. J. Quinn and R. A. Ferrell, Phys. Rev. **112**, 812 (1958).
- 3. J. J. Quinn, Phys. Rev. 126, 1453 (1962).
- 4. D. R. Penn, Phys. Rev. **35**, 482 (1987).





6

FBMC - Hot Electron Thermalization

- Solving BTE
 - Full band inclusion [1,2]
- Conditions: 0 field, 300 K
 - Inject 1000 electrons ($E \sim 100 \ eV$)
- Larger frame = Full thermalization, embedded frame = early stages
 - Rapid energy loss (t $< 10^{-16}$ s)
 - Plasmon emission/impact ionization
 - Thermalization rate decrease (10^{-16} < t < 10^{-14} s)
 - Impact ionization/phonon scattering
 - Roughly exponential decrease to thermal energy (t $> 10^{-14}$ s)
 - Phonon scattering
- Holes
 - No rapid initial decrease
 - Sharper slope (larger frame)
- Average energy per pair of $\sim 8.9 \text{ eV/pair} [3,4]$
- 1. H. Shichijo and K. Hess, Phys. Rev. B 23, 4197 (1981).
- 2. M. V. Fischetti and S. E. Laux, Phys. Rev. B 38, 14 (1988).
- 3. P. J. Sellin and J. Vaitkas, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 557, 479 (2006).
- 4. E. B. Yakimov, et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 118, 202106 (2021).





Where does the energy go?

- At first, E goes to pairs
- At $\sim 10^{-14}$ s, phonon emission increases significantly
 - Pair generation flattens
- Recombination [1]
- Temperature effects
 - Ignore, for now: assume low phonon density
 - No problems early on
 - May be a factor for $t > 10^{-13}$ s





Conclusions

- Presented a first principles study of electron transport in "10-100 eV gap"
 - Includes band structure effects up to 100 eV
 - Studied plasmon and impact ionization in this energy regime
- Next steps...
 - Implement physics in device-level code
 - Include vacancies (radiation damage)
 - Simulate realistic streak
 - Investigate temperature effects



Thank you!

- **Dallin O. Nielsen**, Chris G. Van de Walle, Sokrates T. Pantelides, Ronald D. Schrimpf, Daniel M. Fleetwood, and Massimo V. Fischetti
- Email: <u>dallin.nielsen@utdallas.edu</u>